

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India in the Last Three Quinquennial Rounds of NSS*

**Ragbendra Jha,
Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies
Australian National University**

ABSTRACT

This paper computes protein deficiency indicators across 75 NSS regions for the quinquennial rounds of 1987–88, 1993–94 and 1999–2000. Furthermore, regional inequality in protein deficiency has persisted over time. The economic reforms program has been unable to make any significant dent on the spatial distribution of protein deficiency. The results presented here facilitate easy identification of lagging areas on which nutrition enhancement policy must concentrate.

All correspondence to:

**Prof. Ragbendra Jha,
ASARC, RSPAS
Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia**

**Fax: + 61 2 6125 0443
Phone: + 61 2 6125 2683
Email: r.jha@anu.edu.au**

* Work on this paper was supported by grant number R8121 from DFID, UK. I thank Anurag Sharma for research assistance.

I. Introduction

This paper is addressed to the question of the spatial distribution of protein deficiency and the severity thereof in the Indian economy. Although the current literature has estimated protein deficiency as such, its intensity and particularly its spatial distribution across India have been largely unexplored.¹ A discussion of the spatial distribution has almost exclusively concentrated on the experience of various states. However, as has been discussed in the case of poverty (see Duby and Gangopadhyay (1998) and Jha and Sharma (2003)), there are wide variations within individual states and one needs to work at an even more disaggregated level. This paper provides estimates of the extent and severity of protein deficiency at the level of NSS regions for the 43rd, 50th and 55th rounds (corresponding to the years 1987–88, 1993–94 and 1999–2000 respectively) of the National Sample Survey (NSS).² As is well known by now, there are problems of comparability between the 55th and earlier rounds. However, the results for this round are provided herewith for the sake of completeness.

In the literature the measurement of malnutrition can take either of two routes. First is the route traversed by, among others, Sahn and Stifel (2002). Following Habicht et. al. (1974), Graitcher and Gentry (1981) and Martorell and Habicht (1986) they argue that differences in unconstrained growth of children from different ethnic and racial groups is so minor up to five years that a common reference point is appropriate. Thus for children of upto five years in age, Sahn and Stifel (2002) use the standard z -score measure of height for age score as:

$$z \text{ - score} = \frac{x_i - x_{\text{median}}}{\sigma_x} \quad (1)$$

where x_i is height of child i , x_{median} is the median height for a healthy and well-nourished child from a reference population of the same age and gender, and σ_x is the standard

¹ Jha (2000) presented evidence on the non-convergence of poverty rates across states.

² The 55th round figures correspond to the 30-day recall.

deviation from the mean of the reference population.. Typically the z -score for a reference population has a standard normal distribution in the limit. Based on this probability statement children whose z -scores fall below -2 are classified as malnourished. Some measures of such undernutrition are provided in Appendix Tables from the Family Health Survey figures for 1998–99.

Although this statistic provides a useful measure of malnutrition among children in the age group 0–5 years, its main drawback is that it cannot be used as a measure of undernutrition outside this age group. Typically researchers are interested in the nutrition status of adults for several reasons not the least of which is that this is critical to their labour market experience. This is the second of the two approaches mentioned above.

In the area of undernutrition the literature has focused on two interrelated aspects. One is the prevalence of food inadequacy (PFI) and the other dietary energy supply (DES) per caput. The latter is reported in the *Sixth World Food Survey* of the FAO (2001). The FAO uses a simple methodology to estimate undernutrition. Given DES data it fits a lognormal distribution to it. It then uses a common cutoff point (related to the Basic Metabolic Rate) for all countries and considers all those that fall below this as undernourished. This approach has been criticised by Svedberg (2000). He points out three possible sources of error. First, DES per caput may be measured with error. Second, the parameters of the lognormal distribution that food consumption is assumed to follow (in essence, the coefficient of variation in the distribution, given that its mean is given by the average DES per caput) could be measured with substantial error. Svedberg also points to the possibility that lognormal distribution may be an inappropriate assumption in itself. Finally the calorie cut-off point which defines the threshold level below which individuals are

assumed to be undernourished may be country specific³ and it may therefore be inappropriate to assume a common cutoff related to the Basic Metabolic Rate). The approach to measuring undernourishment in this paper is an improvement since nutritional equivalents of consumption baskets are computed directly. This second approach is, therefore, more desirable.

Undernutrition has huge inefficiency costs associated with it. There is a substantial literature arguing that there is a direct link between nutrition and higher labour productivity. Arcand (2001) takes this logic one step further and models the impact of nutrition on economic growth in a cross-country panel data framework. After considering panel equations describing the growth of per capita GDP as a function of nutritional and other variables and taking account of problems in measurement of the DES (as enunciated by Svedberg (2000) as well as methodology (opting for GMM estimation in order to permit endogeneity of the nutrition variables) he finds a remarkable contribution of the nutrition variable to per capita GDP growth worldwide. The figure is 0.23 to 4.7 per cent worldwide. Countries with above-median PFI would have their annual rates of growth increase by 1.6 percentage points if they had raised their DES per caput to 2770 kcal/day. He argues that there are direct and indirect mechanisms through which higher nutrition positively affects economic growth. The direct mechanism is through improvements in labour productivity. He identifies the indirect mechanisms as those through higher life expectancy (although this is mainly in the long-run) and longer schooling and better schooling outcomes. He also models the phenomenon of nutrition traps in economic growth with low nutrition leading to low rates of economic growth, which then lead to poor nutrition outcomes. He uses a switching regression technique, which distinguishes between high PFI regimes and low PFI regimes. The most significant result of this analysis is that the mean growth rate of GDP per caput for low PFI countries (0.030) is almost four times that for high PFI countries (0.012). Hence

³ However as Arcand (2001) argues, Svedberg's concerns do not necessarily translate themselves into the disappearance of the statistical significance of the impact of DES per caput or PFI on economic growth.

countries with high incidence of nutritional inadequacy are likely to suffer considerably in terms of poor growth performance. However being a high PFI country does not condemn a country indefinitely to low rates of economic growth. What it does point out to is the strong relevance of high levels of nutrition to attaining high rates of economic growth. In fact Arcand goes on to argue that from a mean difference of just less than \$3000 in 1960 the mean difference in GDP per caput between low-median PFI countries and above-median PFI countries had grown to \$5000 by 1990. Had the DES per caput been raised to 2770 kcal/day in all countries, this difference would have increased only to \$3250. Thus improving nutritional outcomes in high-PFI countries would have considerably reduced income inequality across the world.

Until the publication of Behrman and Deolalikar (1987) there was a dominant view that the only way out of malnutrition for developing countries was to rely on higher economic growth. This was based on calculations, which revealed high elasticities of nutrition with respect to expenditure. The argument by Behrman and Deolalikar is that this literature confuses food expenditure and nutrition elasticities. Even at low levels of income households give considerable weight to such attributes as taste in making marginal food demand decisions, at least in comparison to the weight placed on nutrition. If this is the case then high food income elasticities may be consistent with low nutrient income elasticities. Computations by Behrman and Deolalikar for rural South Indian villages in the ICRISAT dataset reveal this indeed to be the case. Whereas income elasticities for food are high, elasticities for nutrients are uniformly (except in select cases for calories and carotene) and insignificant. Hence there should not be any presumption that improvements in income will necessarily lead to better nutritional outcomes.

Ravallion (1990) pushed this point further and argued that an important distinction needs to be made between nutritional intake and nutritional deprivation. Conflicting results

with respect to nutrient and food income elasticities could be reconciled once we realize that interpersonal nutrient distributions have high density in a neighbourhood of the minimum requirement levels relevant to assessing nutritional deprivation. This is because the marginal effects of a change in the incomes of undernourished households on a headcount index of undernutrition is determined by the product of the income slope of the nutrient intake (proxied by the relevant elasticity) and the slope of the cumulative distribution function of intake, evaluated at the nutrient norm. Using a data set from East Java Ravallion confirms the Behrman–Deolalikar result of low-income elasticity of nutrients. (He studied only calories.) However, he also finds that the calorie distribution function is quite steep in the neighbourhood of reasonable caloric requirements. Further, the income slope of the calorie demand function rises quite sharply as income falls. Thus the income elasticity of calorie demand at mean points can understand considerably the income elasticity of the prevalence of caloric undernutrition relative to fixed norms.

With this background the issue of the determinants of nutrition assumes importance. The literature on direct use of nutrient has been relatively scarce. Melville (1988) examined data from a cross section of developing countries and argued that nutritional status is related to the ownership of land but not to the amount of land owned. Cropping patterns do not seem to have much of an impact. However, considerable work remains to be done. The data set that we have access to permits a more complete inquiry into the determinants of nutritional status.

The plan of this paper is as follows. Section II briefly outlines the methodology for protein deficiency computation used in this paper. Section III provides results on protein deficiency and its severity for the 43rd, 50th and 55th quinquennial rounds of the NSS as well as changes in protein deficiency and its intensity across these three rounds. Section IV concludes.

II. The Approach of this Study

We opted for direct measurement of nutritional status. Then using the nutritional tables given in Gopalan, Sastri and Balasubramanian (1971) this consumption profile is converted into nutritional equivalents. The NSS gives details of family composition in terms of adult males and females and male and female children. The nutrition components identified are protein, fats, minerals, fibre, carbohydrates, energy, phosphorus, calcium, iron, carotene, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin and vitamin C. In this paper we report results exclusively on protein.⁴ Minimum protein requirement of a household is defined as

$$\#male*55+\#female*45+\#chmale*30+\#chfemale*30$$

where # stands for number, chmale stands for male child and chfemale for female child. The number of members in a household is calculated by giving unit weights to the adults and 0.5 weight to the children. Age specific weights for children are not possible since ages of children are not recorded. In the case of the 55th round this data is based on 30-day recall.

Our estimation of protein deficiency intake and its severity is accomplished using nutritional equivalents of actual consumption baskets for households compared against recommended daily allowance as elaborated in Gopalan et al. (1971). The daily nutritional requirements for protein as reported by Gopalan et al. are reproduced in Table 1 below.

⁴ Hence, the possibility of calorie-protein substitution in the measurement of undernutrition is not analysed here.

Table 1
Daily Allowances of Protein for Indians
(Recommended by the Nutrition Expert Group in 1968)

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Particulars</i> | <i>Proteins (gm.)</i> |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Man | | 55 |
| Woman | | 45 |
| | Second half of pregnancy | +10 |
| | Lactation: Up to one Year | +20 |
| Infants | 0–6 months | 2.3–1.8/kg |
| | 7–12 months | 1.8–1.5/kg |
| Children | 1 year | 17 |
| | 2 years | 18 |
| | 3 years | 20 |
| | 4–6 years | 22 |
| | 7–9 years | 33 |
| | 10–12 years | 41 |
| Adolescents | 13–15 years boys | 55 |
| | 13–15 years girls | 50 |
| | 16–18 years boys | 60 |
| | 16–18 years girls | 50 |

Source: adapted from Gopalan et al. (1971), pp. 27

This paper uses the popular Foster–Greer–Thorbecke (FGT) measures of poverty.

FGT poverty measure for a given population is defined by:

$$P_{\alpha} = \int_0^q \left(\frac{z - y}{z} \right)^{\alpha} dy$$

which in discrete terms is

$$P_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\frac{z - y_i}{z} \right)^{\alpha}$$

where

- N is the sample size,
- y is the variable of interest (protein intake),
- z is the minimum protein requirement (a number or a scalar).

Three protein deficiency measures are calculated based on three values of α .

Head Count Index of Protein Deficiency (PG0) $\alpha = 0$:

$$P_0 = \frac{q}{N}$$

This measure fails to capture the extent to which individual protein intake falls below the minimum requirement. Hence we use our second measure: the protein deficiency gap index (P_1) given by the aggregate protein shortfall of the protein-deficient population as a proportion of the minimum protein requirement and normalized by the population size.

Protein Deficiency Gap (P_1) $\alpha = 1$:

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\frac{z - y_i}{z} \right)$$

P_1 captures the acuteness of protein deficiency since it measures the total short fall of the protein-deficient from the poverty line. In other words, it measures the total amount of protein necessary to remove that protein deficiency. This measure has the drawback that it does not consider the importance of the number of people who are protein deficient. For this reason, it is important to use both measures of protein deficiency jointly. There are certain policy changes that favor one group of protein deficient and adversely affect another group. In such cases P_0 may not register any change but P_1 may get around this problem to some extent.

Square Protein Deficiency Gap (P_2) $\alpha = 2$:

$$P_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\frac{z - y_i}{z} \right)^2$$

This measures the severity of poverty even more accurately. In discussing protein deficiency, therefore, it is important to use all three measures. The current analysis uses multipliers as the household sampling weights.⁵

⁵ For a treatment of multipliers in the three rounds see the documentation for these rounds provided by NSS.

III. Results

Table 2 provides details of the NSS regions used in this paper. The NSS regional code has varied over the years but we use a common set here for purposes of consistency.

Table 2: NSS regions

| <i>State</i> | <i>Region</i> | <i>Code used in this paper</i> |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | |
|----------------------|------------------|----|
| Manipur | Plains | 42 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 |
| Delhi | | 73 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 |

The results on protein deficiency computations for the three quinquennial rounds follow in Tables 3 to 10 for PG0, PG1 and PG2. These magnitudes are arranged in ascending order to facilitate ranking of regions by their protein deficiency profile.⁶

Thus in Table 3, Northern Madhya Pradesh had the smallest protein deficiency and Sikkim the highest. In Table 4 southeastern Rajasthan had the lowest PG1 and inland southern Karnataka the highest. Again in Table 5 southeastern Rajasthan had the lowest PG2 and inland southern Karnataka the highest.

⁶ Data on all regions may not be reported for each of the rounds. This is because of the lack of convergence of the computational algorithm in these cases.

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

Table 3: 43rd. Round PG0 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG0</i> |
|-------------------|-------------------|----|------------|
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.047252 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.050916 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.052633 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.055401 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.067905 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.091753 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.103185 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.103343 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.10871 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.11348 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.136232 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.137636 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.142797 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.150417 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.161305 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.184397 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.188609 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.190857 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.193603 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.195382 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.198395 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.20916 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.216818 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.225973 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.238624 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.240466 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.244602 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.260461 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.26828 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.289637 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.291865 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.292894 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.295513 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.3071 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.313509 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.31734 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.327512 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.342177 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.368682 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.384766 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.386371 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.389267 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.392676 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.398627 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.399234 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.403536 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.405229 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.42623 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.441425 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.466436 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.517347 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.520544 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.535886 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.537584 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.543491 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.548255 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.550296 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.578861 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.585493 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.592054 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.596853 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.638697 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.640419 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.652248 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.665041 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.665417 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.666717 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.682011 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.691645 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.723776 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.747502 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.751953 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.758055 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.763108 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.875028 |

Table 4: 43rd Round PG1 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG1</i> |
|------------------|------------------|----|------------|
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.009632 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.013478 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.015688 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.018989 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.019329 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.020004 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.021267 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.022614 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.025143 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.030544 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.031067 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.033381 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.03583 |
| J&K | Mountainious | 21 | 0.03591 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.039429 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.040212 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.040225 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.041126 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.042042 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.042561 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.04282 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.043419 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.046949 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.04785 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.051661 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.056645 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.058581 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.059346 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.060373 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.061859 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.063689 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.065882 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.06891 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.069381 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.079776 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.082582 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.086592 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.090886 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.091476 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.094313 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.098176 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.101554 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.101751 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.103326 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.117511 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.121028 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.124008 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.134692 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.137365 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.139393 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.142941 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.142977 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.146622 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.147454 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.158361 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.173348 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.177435 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.185698 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.192791 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.193985 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.203777 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.20755 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.217676 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.22243 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.231665 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.236882 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.244147 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.262778 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.272325 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.27823 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.295998 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.328907 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.381523 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.407288 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

Table 5: 43rd Round PG2 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG2</i> |
|-------------------|-------------------|----|------------|
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.001126 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.004293 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.005521 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.006891 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.007737 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.008572 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.009214 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.011484 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.012047 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.012117 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.012442 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.012672 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.012967 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.013334 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.013566 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.014291 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.014658 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.015156 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.015301 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.015413 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.016007 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.016179 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.016943 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.01712 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.017172 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.02102 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.022242 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.022841 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.023878 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.0243 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.025079 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.02872 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.029076 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.029106 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.030093 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.030284 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.031327 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.031923 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.033599 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.034048 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.036224 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.038221 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.039216 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.040975 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.049955 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.051053 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.053239 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.054559 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.055885 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.057258 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.058218 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.062768 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.064204 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.064448 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.067144 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.069006 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.073314 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.074958 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.075019 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.078055 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.08186 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.092421 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.092743 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.09484 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.097397 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.11213 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.115953 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.121509 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.124066 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.125977 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.140181 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.149757 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.176815 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.227409 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.27488 |

Table 6: 50th Round PG0 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG0</i> |
|-------------------|--------------|----|------------|
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.034114 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.050086 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.05256 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.054606 |
| J&K | Mountainious | 21 | 0.058907 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.062273 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.068631 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.071696 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.086224 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.091198 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.0919 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.098636 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.099348 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.100887 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.116116 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.118013 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.122642 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.123524 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.126714 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.127259 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.133772 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.136818 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.138787 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.142503 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.142752 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.143282 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.145576 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.155613 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.170972 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.171549 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.179906 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.181876 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.185168 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.186618 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.186987 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.189013 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.205761 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.210164 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.215709 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.222569 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.225001 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.231724 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.237012 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.249555 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.252774 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.263536 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.273771 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.302853 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.305519 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.305785 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.316769 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.317002 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.322914 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.324347 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.332749 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.333585 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.333958 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.347198 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.352585 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.360589 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.365547 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.381623 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.415769 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.434848 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.442122 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.448359 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.457001 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.489777 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.49199 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.535003 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.568545 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.575486 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.643752 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.659243 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.699144 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

Table 7: 50th Round PG1 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG1</i> |
|-------------------|--------------------|----|------------|
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.010076 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.011717 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.012529 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.013117 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.014448 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.014452 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.016491 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.017444 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.018478 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.01851 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.018859 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.021369 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.022445 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.02245 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.023313 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.023657 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.023817 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.024385 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.025676 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.026346 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.026606 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.02741 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.028801 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.02885 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.029604 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.029766 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.030366 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.031235 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.032349 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.033015 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.034623 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.035662 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.036431 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.039019 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.039987 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.04144 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.044012 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.04828 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.048633 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.049569 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.054874 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.056123 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.058568 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.059664 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.063753 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.063912 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.063975 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.065541 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.065869 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.068831 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.075598 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.076665 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.077148 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.078496 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.078813 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.083707 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.085635 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.086229 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.101825 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.110149 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.110723 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.115517 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.132489 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.136865 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.139292 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.144962 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.158144 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.174412 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.185465 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.187726 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.192947 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.195017 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.21884 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.227062 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.25102 |

Table 8: 50th Round PG2 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG2</i> |
|----------------|-----------------|----|------------|
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.00179 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.003423 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.003434 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.004415 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.005753 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.005929 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.005982 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.00626 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.006781 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.006832 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.007371 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.007425 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.007443 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.007476 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.007943 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.008109 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.008385 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.009171 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.009245 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.009284 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.009463 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.009738 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.009902 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.010561 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.011711 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.012184 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.012214 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.012257 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.013055 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.013104 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.015113 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.015239 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.016247 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.016304 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.016711 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.018195 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.019281 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.019383 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.020792 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.02087 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.021032 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.021225 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.021366 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.021817 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.021956 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.022082 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.026485 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.027145 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.027616 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.028273 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.028437 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.028466 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.03018 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.030959 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.031434 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.031499 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.034393 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.036349 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.04178 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.043375 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.049266 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.052852 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.054772 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.059038 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.061175 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.070908 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.071108 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.089056 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.092185 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.092328 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.094901 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.099529 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.101731 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.10492 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.111028 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

Table 9: 55th Round PG0 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG0</i> |
|------------------|-------------------|----|------------|
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.000247 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.000398 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.000434 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.001694 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.001699 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.002511 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.0028 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.003029 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.00306 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.003369 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.003565 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.00382 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.004457 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.004676 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.004889 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.005045 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.005563 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.006142 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.006477 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.007074 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.007617 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.009693 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.009825 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.009858 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.010399 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.011838 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.012618 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.012974 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.014286 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.014408 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.015105 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.016672 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.017706 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.017928 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.019173 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.019724 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.021289 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.022424 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.02317 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.023997 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.024405 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghata | 23 | 0.026177 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.026244 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.026534 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.026633 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.026752 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.027039 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.028885 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.030617 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.032314 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.034277 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.03464 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.034651 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.042668 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.0433 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.043741 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.049834 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.056145 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.056995 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.058712 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.060174 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.062293 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.063481 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.069264 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.071126 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.077096 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.081478 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.09988 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.107001 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.109005 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.152548 |

Table 10: 55th Round PG1 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG1</i> |
|----------------|----------------|----|------------|
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 3.16E-05 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 4.84E-05 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 6.76E-05 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.000203 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.00021 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.000278 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.000299 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.000348 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.000579 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.000586 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.000607 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.000625 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.000856 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.001092 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.001407 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.001456 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.001614 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.001721 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.002006 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.002384 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.002413 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.00248 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.002556 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.002756 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.00288 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.002889 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.003351 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.003462 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.003624 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.003879 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.004012 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.004593 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.004684 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.004687 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.004691 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.005025 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.005029 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.005076 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.005191 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.005197 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.006543 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.007707 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.008609 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.008884 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.009678 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.009831 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.009949 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.010664 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.010754 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.011061 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.012872 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.012887 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.013011 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.014279 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.014618 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.015288 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.016422 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.016587 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.017598 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.018213 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.018598 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.021335 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.021477 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.022646 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.023361 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.02604 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.036918 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.046655 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.048849 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.049036 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.053592 |

Table 11: 55th Round PG2 in ascending order

| | | | <i>PG2</i> |
|-------------|------------|----|------------|
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 1.36E-06 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 2.31E-06 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 9.48E-06 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|------------------|------------------|----|----------|
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 2.52E-05 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.000034 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 4.01E-05 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 6.33E-05 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 6.53E-05 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 8.47E-05 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.000145 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.000182 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.000225 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.00023 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.000395 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.000459 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.000536 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.000689 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.000845 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.000918 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.00093 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.000939 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.000943 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.001385 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.001556 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.001571 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.001572 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.001615 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.001683 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.001693 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.00186 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.002017 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.002094 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.002121 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.002126 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.002253 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.002586 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.00291 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.002986 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.002996 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.003396 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.003847 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.003904 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.00397 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.004037 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.004212 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.0047 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.004772 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.0056 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.00565 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.005882 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.00666 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.006716 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.007336 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.007924 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.008497 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.008564 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.008855 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.010506 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.010797 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.011 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.011152 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.012351 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.012512 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.014391 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.016196 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.017554 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.018113 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.038279 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.041205 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.041388 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.045537 |

We now assess how the various regions have performed in respect of protein deficiency over this time period. Thus in Table 12 the head count ratio for 1993–94 is subtracted from that for 1987–88 for each region. These differences are then arranged in ascending order. The first entry in Table 13 indicates that the head count ratio was 0.43269 lower in 1987–88 compared to 1993–94 in inland central Maharashtra. Negative changes indicate worsening performance whereas positive changes indicate improved performance. Thus, over the period 1987–88 to 1993–94 the deterioration in the head count ratio was greatest in inland central Maharashtra. The greatest improvement was in Meghalaya.

Table 12: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 50th Rounds (PG0)

| | | <i>Deteriorating PG0</i> | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | -0.43269 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | -0.40609 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | -0.35037 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | -0.31624 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | -0.30338 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | -0.27668 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | -0.24537 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | -0.20789 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | -0.19652 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | -0.18268 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | -0.14343 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | -0.11677 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | -0.107 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | -0.0871 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.08001 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | -0.07003 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | -0.06779 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | -0.01906 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | -0.01841 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | -0.01277 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | -0.00862 |
| Improving PGO | | | |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.007724 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.027256 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.033791 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.035244 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.04953 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.05168 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.05559 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.058911 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.064533 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.080382 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.085075 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.096746 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.102397 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.10245 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.122124 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.143517 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.161113 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.164266 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.169993 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.17638 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.178554 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.179005 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.199384 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.203099 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.212616 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.21467 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.238288 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.240638 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.249394 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.249648 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.259653 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.266718 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.267792 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.274484 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.294888 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.298673 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.307428 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.321927 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.328519 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.340638 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.357664 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.357687 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.368697 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.374285 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.403408 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.409172 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.446435 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.451722 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.46708 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.484841 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.493405 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.510335 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.515778 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.542399 |

Table 13: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 50th Rounds (PG1)

| <i>Deteriorating PG1</i> | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | -0.16614 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | -0.16188 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | -0.13329 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | -0.12611 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | -0.1236 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | -0.11835 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | -0.11729 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | -0.10913 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | -0.09665 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | -0.08558 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | -0.05887 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | -0.05639 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | -0.0468 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | -0.04586 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | -0.03865 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | -0.03594 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | -0.02705 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.02554 |
| Delhi | | 73 | -0.02273 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | -0.01762 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | -0.01717 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | -0.00669 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | -0.00373 |
| Improving PG1 | | | |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.002202 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.004777 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.013712 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.016408 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.017051 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.017427 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.021664 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.023636 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.024343 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.027259 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.028967 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.033403 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.036901 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.041143 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.042053 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.044114 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.044415 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.050297 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.056227 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.064066 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.064128 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.06428 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.066154 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.072091 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.075804 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.094242 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.095061 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.095381 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.107527 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.11298 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.113607 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.114176 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.123796 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.124715 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.130503 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.134223 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.145282 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.145832 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.147831 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.153999 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.157981 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.160217 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.164329 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.166124 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.169153 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.180172 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.180226 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.187181 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.199734 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.208572 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.232086 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.2452 |

Table 14: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 50th Rounds (PG2)

| <i>Deteriorating PG2</i> | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | -0.08619 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | -0.08246 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | -0.07691 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | -0.05998 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | -0.05859 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | -0.05473 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | -0.05352 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | -0.05068 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | -0.0485 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | -0.04364 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | -0.03326 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | -0.02286 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | -0.02115 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | -0.01945 |
| Delhi | | 73 | -0.01816 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | -0.01748 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | -0.01745 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | -0.01674 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | -0.01352 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.0113 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | -0.00162 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | -6.8E-05 |
| Improving PG2 | | | |
| Rajasthan | North-Eastern | 52 | 0.000669 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.001477 |
| J&K | Mountainious | 21 | 0.003006 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.003445 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.005696 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.008362 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.008676 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.009432 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.009677 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.009747 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.010101 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.011878 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.013078 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.013256 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.013383 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.013596 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.014408 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.014871 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.016402 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.021939 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.023815 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.02587 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.027419 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.028761 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.029478 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.034788 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.042302 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.043337 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.049368 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.051505 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.053893 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.055277 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.055936 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.056428 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.05786 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.058711 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.061964 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.063851 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.066621 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.06967 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.071054 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.072883 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.081093 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.08195 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.094364 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.095082 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.09645 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.103895 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.109222 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.113408 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.116381 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.148542 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.16996 |

Table 15: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 55th Rounds (PG0)

| <i>Deteriorating PG0</i> | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | -0.0536 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | -0.04936 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | -0.01835 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.01292 |
| Improving PG0 | | | |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.009966 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.041728 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.065001 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.084305 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.100832 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.107338 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.121128 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.132972 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.134267 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.134712 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.143376 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.146867 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.150417 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.158988 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.171779 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.179221 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.185044 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.185986 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.188308 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.194768 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.201749 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.201977 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.207124 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.221952 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.230004 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.250575 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.265331 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.265855 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.284074 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.29508 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.313261 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.316942 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.325818 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.335753 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.340478 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.343071 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.355708 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.369505 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.380947 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.38279 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.382805 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.389128 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.398627 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.399598 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.4217 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.461392 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.496974 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.503306 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.504514 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.507489 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.515655 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.517247 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.532827 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.539897 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.556608 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.567023 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.569294 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.587598 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.609721 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.621631 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.624411 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.63039 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.633104 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.660722 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.686969 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.689135 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.744473 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.749153 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.758055 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.763108 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.768027 |

Table 16: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 55th Rounds (PG1)

| | | <i>Deteriorating PG1</i> | |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.03318 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | -0.02971 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | -0.01247 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|----|----------|
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | -0.0117 |
| | Improving PG1 | | |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.002403 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.002677 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.005124 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.009624 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.014389 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.014975 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.018711 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.019103 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.022411 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.023803 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.02734 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.028204 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.030476 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.030488 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.03562 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.03737 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.03802 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.038734 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.038843 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.040814 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.042937 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.049071 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.051613 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.052038 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.056613 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.056835 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.056962 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.057484 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.061178 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.065257 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.066901 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.068054 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.07516 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.07839 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.082283 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.085689 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.086592 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.08872 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.090962 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.096455 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.098639 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.100462 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.102815 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.106847 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.117094 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.117916 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.136758 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.141485 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.14193 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.142699 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.14383 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.153768 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.170469 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.172359 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.174637 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.181099 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.183907 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.20237 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.205936 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.207727 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.21382 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.214236 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.229252 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.240268 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.259317 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.272325 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.277882 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.28071 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.302867 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.381523 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.39761 |

Table 17: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 43rd – 55th Rounds (PG2)

| <i>Deteriorating PG2</i> | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----|----|----------|
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 137 | 35 | -0.03139 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 136 | 34 | -0.03012 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 211 | 51 | -0.02787 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 214 | 54 | -0.00304 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 102 | 22 | -0.00298 |
| Improving PG2 | | | | |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 255 | 65 | 0.000332 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 91 | 20 | 0.000359 |
| Delhi | | 311 | 73 | 0.001126 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 261 | 66 | 0.003658 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 253 | 63 | 0.00572 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 134 | 32 | 0.00689 |
| J&K | Mountainious | 101 | 21 | 0.008794 |
| Manipur | Plains | 151 | 42 | 0.011454 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 263 | 68 | 0.011851 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 251 | 61 | 0.012007 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 133 | 31 | 0.012032 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 213 | 53 | 0.012141 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 132 | 30 | 0.012246 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 144 | 39 | 0.012377 |
| Punjab | Northern | 201 | 49 | 0.012457 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 145 | 40 | 0.012646 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 212 | 52 | 0.01291 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 75 | 17 | 0.012957 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 72 | 14 | 0.013039 |
| Haryana | Western | 82 | 19 | 0.013372 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|----|----------|
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 252 | 62 | 0.01429 |
| Bihar | Northern | 52 | 10 | 0.015548 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 254 | 64 | 0.015787 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 73 | 15 | 0.016005 |
| Chandigarh | | 281 | 71 | 0.019197 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 81 | 18 | 0.019246 |
| Bihar | Central | 53 | 11 | 0.022382 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 74 | 16 | 0.02407 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 41 | 6 | 0.026467 |
| Manipur | Hills | 152 | 43 | 0.027332 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 21 | 1 | 0.028463 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 142 | 37 | 0.02868 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 22 | 2 | 0.029337 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 42 | 7 | 0.029439 |
| Lakshadweep | | 321 | 74 | 0.030093 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 146 | 41 | 0.031102 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 143 | 38 | 0.033417 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 135 | 33 | 0.035307 |
| Punjab | Southern | 202 | 50 | 0.036528 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 241 | 60 | 0.037096 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 31 | 5 | 0.039158 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 71 | 13 | 0.049813 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 114 | 26 | 0.050113 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 262 | 67 | 0.054272 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 232 | 57 | 0.054496 |
| Bihar | Southern | 51 | 9 | 0.055349 |
| Assam | Hills | 43 | 8 | 0.056662 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 23 | 3 | 0.056845 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 161 | 44 | 0.05922 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 191 | 46 | 0.062321 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 264 | 69 | 0.062623 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 271 | 70 | 0.064794 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 111 | 23 | 0.070319 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 131 | 29 | 0.071743 |
| Orissa | Northern | 193 | 48 | 0.077125 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 234 | 59 | 0.080245 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 141 | 36 | 0.088774 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 112 | 24 | 0.089024 |
| Orissa | Southern | 192 | 47 | 0.092746 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 171 | 45 | 0.096454 |
| Goa | Goa | 61 | 12 | 0.103955 |
| Kerala | Southern | 122 | 28 | 0.10648 |
| Pondicherry | | 331 | 75 | 0.115953 |
| Kerala | Northern | 121 | 27 | 0.123377 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 233 | 58 | 0.124118 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 221 | 55 | 0.137406 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 231 | 56 | 0.140147 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 24 | 4 | 0.171216 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 291 | 72 | 0.227409 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 113 | 25 | 0.26822 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

Table 18: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 50th – 55th Rounds (PG0)

| | | <i>Improving PG0</i> | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Haryana | Western | 19 | 0.007937 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.018423 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.027567 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.029028 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.033498 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.040636 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.040979 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.042469 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.049192 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.049655 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.051959 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | 0.067085 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.074195 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.080082 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.087991 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.091198 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.091562 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.09884 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.099468 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.099527 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.100887 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.104886 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.113604 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.120112 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.122411 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.122689 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.123027 |
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | 0.123138 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.123152 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.123654 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.12488 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.138388 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.139179 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.143687 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.154551 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.165886 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.166504 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.175017 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.191304 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.198326 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.201942 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.218524 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.228695 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.23003 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.239698 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.252774 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.259079 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.274622 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.276609 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.288637 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.290292 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.302484 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.302719 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.303058 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.304028 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.305537 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.317645 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.323892 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.329283 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.336798 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.35889 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.365113 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.366881 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.383456 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.387738 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.408216 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.41268 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.41537 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.488425 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.52944 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.54201 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.565662 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.624603 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.642058 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.699144 |

Table 19: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 50th – 55th Rounds (PG1)

| | | <i>Deteriorating PG1</i> | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | -0.01908 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.00764 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | -0.00256 |
| | | Improving PG1 | |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | 0.004617 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.007187 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | 0.007395 |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.007936 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.009089 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.009768 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.010308 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.011153 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.011786 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | 0.01242 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.013049 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.013935 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.015159 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.016472 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | 0.017064 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.019301 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.020033 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.020061 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.021409 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.021813 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | 0.022535 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.022745 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.023658 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.023778 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.024528 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.024654 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.026142 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.026549 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.026945 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.0271 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.028324 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.028523 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.030366 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.032349 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.033217 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.036661 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.041141 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.045754 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.047956 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.048624 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.049684 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.054019 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.054402 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.057667 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.060096 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.06084 |
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.063129 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.063753 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.068538 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.068782 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.072136 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.077357 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northen | 56 | 0.078149 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.079092 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.085643 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.099969 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.1002 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.1012 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.114892 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.115485 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.12082 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.123993 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.126281 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.134783 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.136429 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.144751 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.185246 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.192368 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|----|----------|
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.194161 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.217384 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.217749 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.25102 |

Table 20: Protein Deficiency Changes Between 50th – 55th Rounds (PG2)

| <i>Deteriorating PG2</i> | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----|----------|
| West Bengal | Central Plains | 68 | -0.03149 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Northern | 35 | -0.02008 |
| West Bengal | Himalayan | 66 | -0.00502 |
| Haryana | Western | 19 | -0.00303 |
| Andhra Pradesh | South western | 3 | -0.00187 |
| Punjab | Northern | 49 | -0.00062 |
| Manipur | Plains | 42 | -0.00042 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Coastal | 1 | -0.0003 |
| Improving PG2 | | | |
| Assam | Hills | 8 | 0.000235 |
| Meghalaya | Meghalaya | 44 | 0.00136 |
| Punjab | Southern | 50 | 0.001741 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Southern | 65 | 0.001951 |
| West Bengal | Eastern Plains | 67 | 0.002767 |
| Assam | Plains Western | 7 | 0.00357 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Central | 63 | 0.004243 |
| Haryana | Eastern | 18 | 0.004375 |
| Assam | Plains Eastern | 6 | 0.004527 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland Northern | 2 | 0.005523 |
| J&K | Mountainous | 21 | 0.005789 |
| Bihar | Northern | 10 | 0.00587 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Western | 62 | 0.005928 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Eastern | 64 | 0.00604 |
| West Bengal | Western Plains | 69 | 0.006687 |
| Orissa | Coastal | 46 | 0.007045 |
| Orissa | Northern | 48 | 0.007455 |
| Tripura | Tripura | 60 | 0.007618 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chattisgarh | 29 | 0.007891 |
| Karnataka | Cosatal and Ghatas | 23 | 0.008355 |
| Bihar | Central | 11 | 0.008786 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vindhya | 30 | 0.008801 |
| Goa | Goa | 12 | 0.009591 |
| Andaman & Nicobar | A&N | 70 | 0.010901 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Central | 31 | 0.0121 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal | 57 | 0.012194 |
| Rajasthan | North Eastern | 52 | 0.012241 |
| Chandigarh | | 71 | 0.013501 |
| Tamil Nadu | Inland | 59 | 0.013624 |
| Rajasthan | South Eastern | 54 | 0.013696 |
| Manipur | Hills | 43 | 0.014076 |
| Mizoram | Mizoram | 45 | 0.015361 |
| Lakshadweep | | 74 | 0.016711 |
| Karnataka | Inlans Eastern | 24 | 0.01797 |
| Delhi | | 73 | 0.019281 |

The Spatial Distribution of Protein Deficiency in Rural India:

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| Orissa | Southern | 47 | 0.019862 |
| Tamil Nadu | Southern | 58 | 0.020222 |
| Pondicherry | | 75 | 0.02087 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South Central | 33 | 0.020899 |
| Maharashtra | Eastern | 41 | 0.021001 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Inland southern | 4 | 0.022673 |
| Sikkim | Sikkim | 55 | 0.023998 |
| Kerala | Southern | 28 | 0.02453 |
| Gujarat | Saurashtra | 17 | 0.026476 |
| Kerala | Northern | 27 | 0.026927 |
| Bihar | Southern | 9 | 0.02793 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Malwa Plateau | 32 | 0.029751 |
| Gujarat | Plains Northern | 14 | 0.030489 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coastal Northern | 56 | 0.030925 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Himalayan | 61 | 0.031459 |
| Maharashtra | Coastal | 36 | 0.039405 |
| Gujarat | Eastern | 13 | 0.040381 |
| Gujarat | Dry Areas | 16 | 0.04155 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 0.043997 |
| Madhya Pradesh | South western | 34 | 0.046791 |
| Gujarat | Plains Southern | 15 | 0.049263 |
| J&K | Outer Hills | 22 | 0.050541 |
| Rajasthan | Western | 51 | 0.058324 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0.060311 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Eastern | 40 | 0.061149 |
| Rajasthan | Southern | 53 | 0.06687 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Western | 37 | 0.08866 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Northern | 38 | 0.092003 |
| Maharashtra | Inland Central | 39 | 0.094835 |
| Karnataka | Inland Southern | 25 | 0.09826 |
| Karnataka | Inland Northern | 26 | 0.100791 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | | 72 | 0.111028 |

At this juncture, it is natural to ask whether the ranks of NSS regions by measures of protein deficiency differ significantly across the years. To address this we calculate Kendall's coefficient of concordance (see Boyle and McCarthy (1997)) to track the mobility of individual NSS regions over time. The motivation for calculating it in the context of our work is to determine if the regions that were relatively deprived earlier are still deprived or whether there has been any convergence. Kendall's coefficient of concordance, W , is used to determine the association among the rankings obtained by various regions in different years. (For a lucid discussion of this methodology as used in this paper as well as by Boyle and McCarthy (1997) see Seigel (1956)).

If all the regions had the same ranks in all three years, then the variance of the sum of the ranks over the years of all the regions would be the maximum. The coefficient of concordance can be thought of as an index of divergence of the actual agreement from the maximum possible (perfect) agreement. The degree of actual agreement in ranks obtained by the regions in various years is reflected by the degree of variance among the J (total number of regions) sums of the ranks. Thus W is calculated as:

$$W = s / \{(1/12)(k^2)J(J^2-1)\}$$

where, s = sum of squares of the observed deviations from the mean of R_j (the sum of the ranks obtained by a particular region in different years), that is,

$$s = [\sum_j R_j - \sum_j R_j / N]^2$$

and

k = no. of years (the set of rankings.)

J = no. of regions.

Now, $(1/12)k^2(J^2-J)$ = maximum possible sum of squared deviations, i.e. the sum of s which would occur with perfect agreement among k rankings.

The value of the rank concordance index ranges from zero to one. The coefficient of concordance is calculated for the three years 1987-88, 1993-94 and 1999-2000. This enables us to study the mobility of ranks at each point in time. The probability associated with the occurrence under H_0 (rankings are unrelated to each other) of any value as large as an observed W can be determined by finding χ^2 by the formula

$$\chi^2 = s / [(1/12)kJ(J+1)] = k(J-1)W$$

with degrees of freedom $J-1$.

For PG0, PG1, PG2 the value of the Kendall statistics were 0.61, 0.58 and 0.55 respectively. In each case these are highly significant. This indicates that there is remarkable stability in rankings of regions by protein deficiency. Inequality has persisted over time and

the reforms have not made a significant impact on this inequality. Convergence in terms of values cannot be tested for because we need several more data points for this.

IV. Conclusions

The spatial distribution of poverty in India has emerged as a matter of urgent concern in recent times. Although much of this spatial analysis has concentrated on the poverty experiences of states, there is considerable evidence of wide variations within states particularly, but not exclusively, the larger ones. Along with poverty nutritional deficiency has also been a matter of concern. This paper has presented evidence on the protein deficiency experiences of 75 NSS regions for the quinquennial rounds of 1987–88, 1993–94 and 1999–2000. The results presented here facilitate easy identification of lagging areas on which anti-poverty policy must concentrate. Of particular concern are the areas with negative values for changes in Tables 14 to 19. It is well known that 55th round figures for poverty are an “underestimate” of poverty from the vantage point of the methodology adopted in the 43rd and 50th rounds. It is, therefore, likely that from the vantage of the earlier rounds the protein deficiency figures from the 55th round are probably underestimates. If, despite this, protein deficiency has deteriorated in these regions it should be a matter of urgent concern.

Furthermore, regional inequality in protein deficiency has persisted over time. The economic reforms program has been unable to make any significant dent on the spatial distribution of protein deficiency.

References

- Arcand, J. (2001), "Undernourishment and Economic Growth: The efficiency cost of hunger", FAO Economic and Social Development Paper, No.147, FAO: Rome.
- Behrman, J, and A. Deolalikar (1987), "Will Developing Country Nutrition Improve with Income? A case study of rural South India", *Journal of Political Economy*, 95(3): 492–507.
- Boyle GA, McCarthy TE (1997) A Simple Measure of β Convergence, *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 59(2)::257-264.
- Dubey, A. and S. Gangopadhyay (1998), *Where are the Poor in India*, CSO, New Delhi.
- FAO, (2001), *Sixth World Food Survey*, Rome: Food and Agricultural Organisation.
- Gopalan, C., Sastri, B. and S. Balasubramanian (1971), *Nutritive Values of Indian Foods*, Hyderabad: National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research.
- Graitcher, P. and E. Gentry (1981), "Measuring Children: One reference for all", *Lancet*, 2: 297–9.
- Habicht, J., Martorell, R., Yarbrough, Malina, R. and R. Klein (1974), "Height and Weight Standards for Preschool Children: How relevant are ethnic differences in growth potential", *Lancet*, 1: 611–14.
- Jha, R. (2000), "Growth, Inequality and Poverty in India: Spatial and temporal characteristics", *Economic and Political Weekly*, 35(11): 921–8.
- Jha, R. and A. Sharma (2003), "The Spatial Distribution of Poverty in Rural India in the Last Three Quinquennial Rounds of NSS", ASARC Working Paper 2003/02, ANU.
- Melville, B. (1988), "Are Land Availability and Cropping Pattern Critical Factors in Determining Nutritional Status?", *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 10(2): 44–8.
- Ravallion, M. (1990) "Income Effects on Undernutrition", *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 38(3): 489–515.
- Sahn, D. and D. Stifel (2002), "Robust Comparison of Malnutrition in Developing Countries", *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 84(3): 716–35.
- Seigel, SG (1956) *Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Svedberg (2000), *Poverty and Undernutrition: Theory, measurement, and policy*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.