


The 2013 PNG budget: the end of the expenditure boom, and implications for service delivery

Stephen Howes, Andrew Mako and Anthony Swan
Development Policy Centre, Australian National
University &
National Research Institute

Growth prospects continue to be positive




No surprise that poverty has stagnated in PNG over the last 15 years...

	GDP pc (Kina, 2011 prices)	Poverty (%)
1996	4693	37.5
2009-10	3921	39.9


...but more recent figures are more promising

	Cumulative growth	
	96-09/10	02-12
Growth in formal sector jobs	43%	72%
Growth in population	45%	32%


The budget needs to do a better job of converting growth into development, esp. in the coming years




The 2013 budget continues the trend over the last decade of rapid expenditure growth




And increased funding is flowing to key priority areas (MTDP enablers)



National roads funding has been a particular success story




But are we reaching the end of the expenditure boom?




Note: In this and other graphs, unless specified otherwise (as in the first graph), expenditure (either total or development) includes trust funds, as spent on a net basis; 2012 are estimates; 2013 budget numbers and 2014 onwards forward estimates.


Expenditure has outstripped revenue, giving rise to fiscal risks,




And revenue is not growing that fast



Despite constrained fiscal circumstances, the budget continues the pattern of the last decade of prioritizing the development (project) budget




In a tight fiscal context, continued expansion of the development budget means that funding for core service delivery functions will be squeezed




Transfers to statutory authorities also excluded from non-interest recurrent.


Within the recurrent budget, preference has been given to goods and services over salaries.




The impact of all this is especially stark in education.




The result is just not enough teachers...




Much of the first round of resource boom spending under the development budget (and trust funds) was wasted, so the government has decided to devolve or decentralize the development budget.



In a radical shift (representing almost 60% of the increase in the budget), the budget share of devolved spending rises from about 5% to 15%.



Or from K500 million to K2 billion




Arguments in favour of devolution, but questions around implementation capacity...

An example from PEPE

- Number of schools: 208
- No. with DSIP funding: 52 (25%)
- Average funding: K65,652
- Number of projects completed: 29
- Number incomplete and behind schedule: 22
- Of these
 - Average years behind schedule: 1.1 years
 - Average degree of completion: 60%
 - Number expected never to be completed: 41%

... and a bias in favour of development?



Sovereign Wealth Fund will also expand development rather than recurrent spending

- Under the original plan, SWF resources will flow to the development rather than the recurrent budget.
- Under the new plan, SWF resources will go to SOEs?

The problem in a PEPE picture.



Conclusion

- More resources for priority areas, especially transport, a welcome development.
- But front-line service delivery has taken a back seat to expanded national and devolved development spending.
- With fiscal constraints tightening, it needs to be given greater priority or front-line service delivery will suffer (and fiscal risks increase).

Conclusion

- Taxation review is urgent.
- Exciting time for budget analysis in PNG as lots of changes and reforms
 - Need for monitoring and evaluation of *implementation*.
 - *What the PNG PEPE project is all about!*

Conclusion

- BUDGET PRIORITIZATION HAS TO BE TURNED ON ITS HEAD –
 - GIVE RECURRENT BUDGET FIRST CALL, AND DEVELOPMENT THE RESIDUAL
 - NOT THE OTHER WAY ROUND AS CURRENTLY

Thank you!

Devpolicy.anu.edu.au

Devpolicy.org